

AGENDA
REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING
October 9, 2019 @ 9:00 AM

1. Open Meeting
2. Approve Agenda
3. Approve Minutes
 - Regular Meeting September 27, 2019
 - DD 25 Landowner Meeting September 27, 2019

Documents:

[9_27_2019 - DRAINAGE MINUTES.PDF](#)
[DD 25 LANDOWNER MTG 9_27_2019 - MINUTES.PDF](#)

4. Approve Claims For Payment
 - Pay date of Friday, October 11, 2019

Documents:

[PAYABLES-DRAINAGE PUBLICATION.PDF](#)

5. DD 72 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Contractor Update
Matt DeShamp with MD Construction
6. DD 22 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Project Update & Pay Estimate #2
Approve Pay Estimate #2.

Heather to present Project Update.

Documents:

[PN 8712-PAY ESTIMATE 2 TO DD.PDF](#)

7. DD 9 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Legal

Documents:

[RYAN HAALAND 10-2-19 RESPONSE.PDF](#)

8. Discuss And Review, With Possible Action, Open Ditch Bid Letting

Documents:

[OPEN DITCH BRUSH CONTROL BIDS.XLSX](#)
[OPEN DITCH SPRAYING BIDS 12-21-2016.PDF](#)
[OPEN DITCH SPRAYING NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS.PDF](#)

9. Other Business

10. Adjourn Meeting

REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING

9/27/2019 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Board of Supervisors Chairperson, Renee McClellan, opened the meeting. Also present was Supervisor, BJ Hoffman; Landowner Dennis Prochaska; Steve McDowell with McDowell & Sons; Contractor, Adam Seward; Lee Gallentine with Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); Drainage Clerk, Becca Junker. Lance Granzow was present via phone.

2. Approve Agenda

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to approve the agenda as presented. All ayes. Motion carried.

3. Approve Minutes

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to approve the minutes of the August 27, 2019 regular drainage meeting, September 4, 2019 regular drainage meeting, September 11 regular drainage meeting, September 18, 2019 regular drainage meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.

4. DD 9 - Discuss, With Possible Action, District Tile In Railroad Right Of Way

Junker explained that George loger came in on Monday and was asking if the district tile in the North that is in the railroad right of way could be moved out of the right of way. Gallentine explained it looked like a rough guess of 600 ft in the railroad right of way and all in Roberts ground. Granzow asked if there was a purpose to moving the tile, if it was plugged or if something was wrong with the tile. Junker explained there was nothing reported wrong with the tile. Granzow explained that until there becomes an issue, there is no reason to move this tile. He continued that although loger is trying to be proactive, there is no reason to add this cost at this time. No motion.

5. DD 167 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Repair Summary For Work Order 260

Gallentine explained that after CGA investigated they found several sinkhole blow outs, a part of the main that had been crushed by machinery, and an approximately 100-foot section of tile that was missing with significant erosion taking place in the assumed tile route at the Main tile outlet. Also found large mulberry trees in close proximity to some of the blowouts/sinkholes on the Main tile.

The recommendation is to replace the missing 100-feet of Main tile including the outlet and reinstall the eroded soil along said approximate 100-foot stretch. Remove all trees and brush within 50-feet of the main tile and excavate and repair the Main tile blowouts/sinkholes as necessary. The estimated cost of that is \$15,000 - \$20,000 if there is not a headwall put in at the outlet. If a headwall is deemed necessary, it is estimated that \$20,000 - \$25,000 will be added to the construction cost. He did explain that the missing 100-feet is flowing but if nothing is done the field will continue to have erosion.

Granzow moved, Hoffman seconded to upscale to a larger tile for the 100-feet with no headwall. Hoffman said that CGA will take this to the lottery system and suggested splitting between a contractor for the tile and Adam Seward to remove the trees. All ayes. Motion approved.

6. Discuss, With Possible Action, IRUA

Junker explained that the email she received back from Davis Brown Law Firm suggested the Trustees either send another letter demanding that the IRUA ceases violating the permits, similar to what was done in 2018, or file a lawsuit.

Gallentine explained that he had read in the paper that IRUA was applying for a grant to expand their system between Buckeye and Hamilton County. He said he would forward the notice to the Trustees. Junker clarified that currently, the funds for this matter are coming out of the Trustee's account and not being billed to any drainage districts. McClellan said that this is the kind of charge that will need to be sent out to all districts in the future.

Granzow suggested sending a reminder that this issue has not been settled and that there needs to be a meeting with both the Trustees, IRUA and the attorneys. He said that IRUA had agreed in contract to the original permit and that the going backwards needs to get resolved so that in the future, everyone can work together.

Granzow moved, Hoffman seconded to have Davis Brown Law Firm send a letter to IRUA to remind them that this issue has not been settled. The letter needs to request a date for a meeting for all parties involved to sit down and come to a conclusion. All ayes. Motion carried.

7. Discuss, With Possible Action, Open Ditch Bid Letting

Junker explained she has had multiple people come in and ask what needs to be included in the bid they need to be submitting. She explained that currently there is a three year contract that is going to be up and open for bid in December. Hoffman suggested bringing the bid letting to the next meeting to verify and possibly act on and get ready for publication.

8. Other Business

DD 109: Denny Prochaska explained the work that was done in his field. He further explained that he thought the tile his tenant was working on was private tile, not district. After the Trustees heard what was done, they explained that in the future Prochaska needs to check with the drainage clerk to make sure that the tile he is working on within a drainage district is not district tile. Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to reimburse and pay Denny a total amount \$2953.11. He is willing to do this as long as there is follow through to get the trees taken care of. All ayes. Motion carried.

Granzow then expressed concern about the willow trees that are near the tile. Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to instruct CGA to investigate what trees and brush needs to be removed and contact Adam Seward with a new work order. All ayes. Motion carried.

9. Adjourn Meeting

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to adjourn the meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.

REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING

9/27/2019 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Drainage District Board of Trustee Chairperson, Renee McClellan, opened the meeting. Also present was Trustee, BJ Hoffman; Landowners, Kevin Torgeson, Brent Torgeson, Alvin Clark, David Fincham, Larry Otta, Jason Martin, Pam Skartvedt, Denny Friest, Denny Beckman, Robert Lee, Dennis Neubauer, Richard Hebron, Kevin Nessa; Contractor Steve McDowell with McDowell and Sons; Lee Gallentine with Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); Drainage Clerk, Becca Junker. Trustee Lance Granzow was present via phone.

2. Approve Agenda

Hoffman moved, McClellan seconded to approve the agenda as presented. All ayes. Motion carried.

3. Introductions/Attendance

Introductions were made and attendance verified.

4. Explanation Of Project

The meeting was turned over to Gallentine who explained that while investigating and doing construction, two tile lines were found. He had presented the week before to the Trustees these findings and they decided it was necessary to share these findings with landowners to get their opinions on how to move forward. He explained that one is shallower and is private, the other is deeper and is district. He further explained that the district tile has a large cavity/void missing above the tile and there are a couple small sink holes developing. It is 1-1/2 full of mud and flowing water. There is still a reason to fix the district tile, however to repair the tile they will need to offset the new district tile so that it does not destroy the private tile. The downside of doing that is some of the private tile that is connected to the district tile might not be reconnected because they will not know about it.

In the previous meeting when Gallentine had presented this information Granzow proposed putting a larger tile in instead of having a private tile and a district tile. At that time Gallentine had explained that if they put a bigger tile in under the railroad, they would need to update the railroad permit that had already taken a long time to get approved. With that being said, there is a chance that this project might not get done this year.

The three options that were proposed to the landowners were:

1. Proceed per the original plans and offset the drainage district tile from the private tile.
2. Proceed per the original plans and offset the drainage district tile from the private tile but install an 18" tile under the railroad.
3. Remove the private tile and in return place 18" tile throughout the whole route of the two 10" tiles.

5. Comments/Discussion

Kevin Nessa pointed out that in previous meetings it had been decided to not make improvements on tile going further, so why not get it done and fix what there is and move on. He asked how much it would cost for Engineering Fees, Gallentine responded that it would depend on how much conversation was going to have to be done with the railroad. He explained that it would not cost any extra for Engineering to change from 10" to 18" tile, it will just cost more for the tile itself.

After much conversation it was decided that the landowners did not want to replace the whole length with 18" tile and that they would like a cost estimate before they make a decision.

6. Possible Action

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to instruct CGA work in conjunction with McDowell and Son's to get a cost estimate on an 18" crossing and boring and report back to the landowners during a Landowners Meeting on Wednesday, October 9, 2019 at 8:30 AM in the Large Conference Room of the Courthouse. All ayes. Motion carried.

7. Other Business

Gallentine asked the landowners if they want McDowell and Sons to investigate the private tile to see where it goes beyond what has already been found, it was decided that was not necessary.

8. Adjourn Meeting

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded adjourn the meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.



Hardin County

Drainage Claims with Pay Date of 10/11/2019

Description	Vendor	Amount
DD86 Professional Serv After 7/26/19 to 9/27/19	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	1,223.00
DD 102 Review of wetland plans	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	643.40
DD22 Professional Serv.s After 8/24/19 to 9/21/19.	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	19,625.85
DD1 Const. observe, contract admin D35 Rd Xing	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	1,473.00
DD 109 - Reimbursement for work done	Prochaska, Dennis	778.17
DD 9 - Review of annexation information	The Davis Brown Law Firm	150.00
DD 9 - Inv of Blowout on Lat 1	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	348.00
DD 9 - Annexation	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	1,201.65
DD 25 - Const. Obsrv. Lat 3 & Main	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	9,448.65
DD 34- Const. Observ Main	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	729.80
DD 56 - Work to date for Reclass	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	2,312.00
DD 109 - Reimbursement	Prochaska, Dennis	2,174.94
DD 128 - Const. Obsrv open channel	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	350.30
DD 146 - Field Obsrv to repair outlet on main	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	150.65
DD 165- Field Obsrv to repair sinkhole & outlet	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	150.65
DD 167 - Inv sinkhole	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	220.50
DD 35-1 - Inv sinkhole on lat one	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	142.30
DD 106- Repair on main tile	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	219.70

Total Regular Payables: 23,743.42
Total Stamped Warrants: 17,599.14

CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS REPORT

Project Description: Main Tile Repairs to Drainage District 22 Facilities
 Hardin County, Iowa
 Project Nos. DD-6532.2 and 8712

Estimate : No. 2

Date of Contract: August 16, 2018

Completion Date: 9/1/2019 - Updated to 12/1/2019 per Change Order #6

Contractor: Gehrke, Inc.
 Eldora, IA

Owner: Hardin County Trustees
 of Drainage District 22

Base Contract Price	\$549,332.50	Construction Completed (See Attached Tab)	\$277,075.00
Approved Equivalent #1	-\$442.00		
Change Order #2	\$1,184.00	Total Amount Earned	\$277,075.00
Change Order #3	-\$17,992.00		
Change Order #4	\$0.00	Less 10% Retainage	<u>\$27,707.50</u>
Change Order #5	\$1,749.00		
Change Order #6	\$0.00	Subtotal	\$249,367.50
		Less Previous Payment	<u>\$184,982.40</u>
Total Contract Amount	\$533,831.50	AMOUNT DUE THIS ESTIMATE	\$64,385.10

Requested by Contractor:


 Gehrke, Inc.

V.P.
 Title

10-4-19
 Date

Recommended by Engineer:


 Heather A. Thomas
 Clapsaddle-Garber Associates, Inc.

Project Engineer
 Title

10/07/19
 Date

Approved by Owner:

 Hardin County Supervisors

 Title

 Date

Prepared by:
 Clapsaddle-Garber Associates
 Marshalltown, Iowa 50158

CGA Project No. 8712.06
 October 4, 2019

**Tabulation of Construction Quantities
Main Tile Repairs to Drainage District 22 Facilities
Hardin County, Iowa**

Period Ending: September 30, 2019

Item No	Description	Unit	Unit Bid Price	Contract		Completed	
				Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
1A	30" Ø RCP Tile, Perforated - For Tile Replacement	LF	\$53.00	6,087	\$322,611.00	2660	\$140,980.00
2A	30" Ø RCP Tile Road Crossing, Perforated - For Tile Replacement	LF	\$46.00	310	\$14,260.00	180	\$8,280.00
3A	CCTV Inspection Of Roadway Crossing Tile - For Tile Replacement	LF	\$3.00	310	\$930.00	0	\$0.00
4A	Connection To Road Crossing Tile To Remain - For Tile Replacement	Each	\$400.00	10	\$4,000.00	0	\$0.00
5A	Removal And Disposal Of Supplemental Tile - For Tile Replacement	LF	\$6.50	6,397	\$41,580.50	1648	\$10,712.00
6A	24" Ø RCP Tile, Perforated - For Spot Repairs	LF	\$78.00	**,** 564	\$43,992.00	564	\$43,992.00
7A	18" Ø RCP Tile, Perforated - For Spot Repairs	LF	\$67.00	*** 566	\$37,922.00	435	\$29,145.00
8A	18" Ø RCP Tile Road Crossing, Perforated - For Spot Repairs	LF	\$134.50	50	\$6,725.00	50	\$6,725.00
9A	24" X 18" RCP Wye - For Spot Repairs	Each	\$600.00	1	\$600.00	1	\$600.00
10A	24" X 18" RCP Reducer - For Spot Repairs	Each	\$800.00	* 0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
11A	Type 2 Concrete Collar - For Spot Repairs	Each	\$358.00	*,**,** 51	\$18,258.00	49	\$17,542.00
12A	Connection To Existing Structure	Each	\$2,000.00	2	\$4,000.00	1	\$2,000.00
13A	Private Tile Connection	Each	\$500.00	15	\$7,500.00	11	\$5,500.00
14A	Intake, 30" Ø Circular Area	Each	\$1,000.00	5	\$5,000.00	3	\$3,000.00
15A	Intake, 12" Ø Hickenbottom	Each	\$1,100.00	5	\$5,500.00	3	\$3,300.00
16A	Intake, 8" Ø Hickenbottom	Each	\$525.00	2	\$1,050.00	2	\$1,050.00
17A	Cleanup, Shaping, And Permanent Seeding	LS	\$8,300.00	1	\$8,300.00	0	\$0.00
18A	Seeding Warranty	LS	\$300.00	1	\$300.00	0	\$0.00
400	18" Ø RCP Tile with Rock Bedding, Perforated - For Spot Repairs	LF	\$67.00	40	\$2,680.00	0	\$0.00
401	18" X 18" RCP Wye with Rock Bedding - For Spot Repairs	Each	\$800.00	1	\$800.00	0	\$0.00
402	Type 2 Concrete Collar - For Spot Repairs	Each	\$358.00	3	\$1,074.00	0	\$0.00
600	2 Year Maintenance Bond	LS	\$5,000.00	1	\$5,000.00	0.5	\$2,500.00
CO1	Exploratory Excavation	HR	\$300.00	5.83	\$1,749.00	5.83	\$1,749.00
Total				\$533,831.50		\$277,075.00	

*denotes quantity adjustment made in Approved Equivalent #1

** denotes quantity adjustment made in Change Order #2

*** denotes quantity adjustment made in Change Order #3

Prepared by
Clapsaddle-Garber Associates, Inc.
Marshalltown, Iowa

PN 8712.06

Becca Junker

From: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 10:53 PM
To: Becca Junker; Lee Gallentine; Richards, Mike C.
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S.
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

All:

I do not believe there is anything especially unique in the drainage district attempting to procure a right of way easement. The code provides that the district is “empowered to construct impounding areas and other flood and erosion control devices to protect lands of the district and drainage structures and may provide ways for access to improvements for the operation or protection thereof, where the cost is not excessive in consideration of the value to the district.” This is a fairly low standard, and which appears to be met by the district’s current need.

Obtaining the ROW can be done by purchase, lease, or eminent domain under chapter 6B, and may be acquired in conjunction with any political subdivision (e.g. county). If we are leaving the annexation option behind I think we need to determine whether the easement can be obtained by agreement. Given Sailer’s lack of responsiveness, I don’t know whether there is reason to be optimistic about that prospect. Eminent domain is another option, but that’s a much different matter than annexation under chapter 468 and we would want to recalibrate, and I would want to seek the input of one or two of my partners as well.

Becca—if we need to hop on a call please let me know and I’ll be happy to chat.

From: Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 12:29 PM
To: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>; Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Ryan,

Attached is a copy of the letter that was sent to Mr. Sailer. We know that it was picked up July 16, but we never got a response from the letter. There has been no contact other than the letter.

Let me know if you need anything else!

Becca



BECCA JUNKER
PAYROLL/DRAINAGE CLERK
HARDIN COUNTY AUDITOR’S OFFICE
1215 EDGINGTON AVENUE OFFICE: 641.939.8113
ELDORA, IOWA 50627 FAX: 641.939.8245
[HTTP://WWW.HARDINCOUNTYIA.GOV](http://www.HARDINCOUNTYIA.GOV)

From: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 12:25 PM
To: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>; Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Ryan,
I do not know Mr. Sailer's position. He has not attended any meetings and I have not spoken to him. I believe that Becca was directed to send him a letter, but I don't recall seeing a copy of it. I am sure that Becca could forward you a copy of it, though. After reviewing it, please let me know if you have any other questions or need anything else before advising how to proceed.

Thanks,

Lee O. Gallentine, PLS & PE
Vice President



Clapsaddle-Garber Associates, Inc. (CGA)

739 Park Avenue
Ackley, Iowa 50601

Office: 641-847-3273
Mobile: 515-689-5339
lgallentine@cgaconsultants.com
www.cgaconsultants.com

Ackley | Ames | Cedar Falls | Marshalltown | Webster City

[CLICK HERE to sign up for CGA news and updates!](#)



From: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 11:26 PM
To: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>; Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>; Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Lee:

I will have to take a look at this. Can you tell me what the Sailer's position is on the grant of an easement? Are they agreeable at this point, or is this something that may require some form of condemnation?

From: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 1:25 PM

To: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>; Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Ryan,
Thanks for the information. Based on our work to generate the annexation report and a recent meeting with the District Trustees, they have decided not to pursue annexation at this time and only want to pursue an easement/acquire right of way across the Sailer property (see attached meeting minutes) to install the proposed tile. As a result, we have drafted the attached Exhibit that shows the general area of the easement. Moving forward, could you give our firm/District Trustees direction as to how to proceed with obtaining said easement/acquiring said right of way? We have a ROW agent on staff at CGA, but I didn't know if there are any special steps/legal requirements for Drainage District easements/right of way. Just let me know.
Thanks,

Lee O. Gallentine, PLS & PE
Vice President



Clapsaddle-Garber Associates, Inc. (CGA)
739 Park Avenue
Ackley, Iowa 50601

Office: 641-847-3273
Mobile: 515-689-5339
lgallentine@cgaconsultants.com
www.cgaconsultants.com

Ackley | Ames | Cedar Falls | Marshalltown | Webster City

[CLICK HERE to sign up for CGA news and updates!](#)



From: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2019 12:31 PM
To: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>; Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Lee:

Because this is a bit of a laundry list, I'm attaching a document for you with the requirements of the engineer's report—which are largely the same as required for establishment of the original district. The annexation does include some additional items, but again, largely the same as establishing the original district.

I'm around all week yet if we need to hop on a call or discuss further.

Thanks,

From: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 3:22 PM

To: Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>

Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>

Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Ryan,

Per my e-mail below, please let me know for sure what you feel the annexation report should include.

Thanks,

Lee O. Gallentine, PLS & PE

Vice President



Clapsaddle-Garber Associates, Inc. (CGA)

739 Park Avenue
Ackley, Iowa 50601

Office: 641-847-3273

Mobile: 515-689-5339

lgallentine@cgaconsultants.com

www.cgaconsultants.com

Ackley | Ames | Cedar Falls | Marshalltown | Webster City

[CLICK HERE to sign up for CGA news and updates!](#)



From: Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>

Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2019 8:51 AM

To: Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>; Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>; Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>

Cc: Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>

Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Drainage District No. 9

Ryan,

We talked in the meeting yesterday and the Trustees have decided to move forward with the annexation. Sorry, I'm just getting to my emails now! Lee should have any reports you might need! Please let me know if you need anything from me, though!

[CLICK HERE to sign up for CGA news and updates!](#)



From: Richards, Mike C. <MikeRichards@davisbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2019 8:55 AM
To: Lee Gallentine <LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com>
Cc: Becca Junker <BJunker@hardincountyia.gov>; Talcott, Christopher S. <ChrisTalcott@davisbrownlaw.com>; Haaland, Ryan L. <RyanHaaland@davisbrownlaw.com>
Subject: Drainage District No. 9

Hi Lee,

I'm happy to hear that Drainage District No 9 will be undergoing a project that we get to work with you again on. Can you give me the specifics of the project that were approved? My understanding is that the district is going to be divided into two districts and one of the new districts is going to annex some land? I believe Davis Brown's role in this plan would be preparing the documents to divide the district, and preparing the land transaction documents for the annexation.

Can you give us all the info you have? The three attorneys on this email from Davis Brown will be working on this project, so it will be helpful to copy us all on emails.

Thanks, Mike.



Michael C. Richards | Shareholder | 515-288-2500 | www.davisbrownlaw.com
The Davis Brown Tower | 215 10th Street, Suite 1300 | Des Moines, IA 50309

The Davis Brown Law Firm is committed to providing **Exceptional Client Service**. For a review of the supporting principles, go to www.davisbrownlaw.com/exceptional.

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply E-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

HEALTHCARE PRIVACY STATEMENT: This message may contain protected health information that is strictly confidential. If you have received this email, you are required to maintain the security and confidentiality of the information and may not disclose it without written consent from the patient or as otherwise permitted by law. Unauthorized disclosure may be subject to federal and state penalties.

Open Ditches	Joe Harrah	B & W Control							Location
	2016 Quote	2016 Quote	2013 Quote	2013 Actual	2010 Quote	2010 Actual	2007 Quote	2007 Actual	
3 (Trustee)	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 8,500.00							See Map
7 & Sub 1	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 812.50	\$ 750.00	\$ 342.50			Section 5-86-22
18 (Trustee)	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 5,500.00							Sections 24, 23, 26, 27, 34 of 89-22
26	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 2,548.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 4,134.25	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 6,381.88	Sections 30, 32, 33 of 88-22; Sections 1-4 of 87-22
34	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,000.00							Section 20-86-22
48	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 4,969.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 3,633.63	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 1,920.50	Sections 8, 9, 16, 22, 23 & 24 of 88-21
55 Div 2 (Trustee)	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 9,500.00							Section 20-87-22 thru 25-87-22; 30-87-21 thru 32-87-21
55 Div 3 Main	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 9,500.00							Section 34-87-22 through 36-87-22
55 Div 3 Lat 9	\$ 500.00	NdsTreeRemoval							Section 1-86-22 (NW 1/4)
55 Div 3 Lat 9A	\$ 500.00	NdsTreeRemoval							Section 1-86-22 (SW 1/4)
55 Div 3 Lat 9G	\$ 500.00	NdsTreeRemoval							Section 1-86-22 (NE1/4 NE1/4)
55 Div 3 Lat 10	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,500.00							Section 36-87-22
55 Div 3 Lat 12	\$ 500.00	\$ 2,500.00							Section 34-87-22 & 3-86-22
56	\$ 500.00	\$ 2,500.00							Section 1-87-22
70	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,000.00							Section 4-87-20
72	\$ 400.00	NdsTreeRemoval							Section 28-86-20
82	\$ 800.00	\$ 1,000.00							Section 17-87-21
94	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,500.00							Section 12 -88-19
120	\$ 500.00	NdsTreeRemoval							Section 16 of 89-20
123	\$ 200.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00						Section 3-87-22
143	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,500.00							Section 34-87-22
148	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 578.13	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 593.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 727.00	Section 8-89-19
152	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,500.00							Section 14-87-22
154	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,000.00							Section 29-86-21
155	\$ 800.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 949.38	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 601.88	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 458.50	Section 11-89-19
166	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 529.88	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 985.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 387.25	Section 21-87-22
168	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 977.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 882.50	\$ 15,001.00	\$ 450.16	Section 18-87-22 through 21-87-22
Big 4 Main	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 18,182.25	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 1,807.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 1,249.34	See Map
Big 4 Lat 1	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 1,707.50	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 691.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,427.00	Section 9-89-22 & 10-89-22
Big 4 Lat 2	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 2,532.50	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 1,229.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,188.56	Section 17-89-22 & 18-89-22
Big 4 Lat 3	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 2,585.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 868.25	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 865.82	Sections 20, 17, 16, 21, 22 of 89-22
Big 4 Lat 4	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 2,835.75	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 1,884.75	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,034.63	Section 30-89-22 & 32-89-22
Big 4 Lat A	\$ 500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 216.75	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 877.25	Section 4-89-22
Big 4 Lat C	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 2,566.50	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 441.75	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 626.25	Section 31-89-22
Big 4 Lat D	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 239.63	\$ 750.00	\$ 100.07	\$ 750.00	\$ 594.38	Section 30-89-22
H-H 104-222	\$ 500.00	\$ 2,500.00						\$ 1,388.75	Section 31-88-22
H-H 2-104	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,500.00						\$ 3,678.13	Section 6-87-22
H-H 118-232	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,500.00							Section 6-88-22

H-F 1	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00								Section 7-89-20
COUNTY TOTALS	\$ 51,700.00	\$ 93,500.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 42,229.77	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 18,194.58	\$ 49,751.00	\$ 25,255.40		
TRUSTEE TOTALS	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 23,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
GRAND TOTALS	\$ 70,700.00	\$ 117,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 42,229.77	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 18,194.58	\$ 49,751.00	\$ 25,255.40		
			65%		41%		51%			

MINUTES
OPEN DITCH TREE AND BRUSH CONTROL BIDS
DECEMBER 21, 2016
11:30 A.M.

Hardin County Supervisor Chairman, Lance Granzow, opened the meeting. Also present were Hardin County Supervisors, Ronn Rickels and Renee McClellan; Board of Supervisor Elect, BJ Hoffman; Contractor, Don Reffer with B & W Control Specialists; Drainage Clerk, Tina Schlemme.

Rickels moved, McClellan seconded to approve the agenda as presented. All ayes. Motion carried.

Schlemme verified the publication notice before McClellan opened the bids.

Joe Harrah bid a total of \$70,700 for all drainage districts, including landowner trustee districts as noted. B & W Control bid a total of \$118,000 for all districts for a three year period.

Discussion was had that B & W's quote was the maximum dollar amount allowed but the actual invoices and amounts paid were substantially less. Reffer stated they use Polaris and Streamline as their main chemicals, where Harrah's were Stocker and Streamline as detailed in their brochure. Reffer also added that if chemicals are sprayed in the water that they need to be aquatic herbicides. Insurance requirements were discussed. Reffer stated he has a \$2,000,000 general liability with a \$1,000,000 umbrella plus workers compensation and \$100,000 rider for pollution. Reffer further added that he has 34 years of experience in this industry and has worked for Hardin County since the early 1990's.

McClellan moved, Rickels seconded to table any action on the bids until they are reviewed and additional information is received. The Trustees agreed that Schlemme should submit a summary of the previous amounts bid with actual costs, as well as, obtaining Harrah's insurance and bid details. All ayes. Motion carried.

McClellan moved, Rickels seconded to adjourn the meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.

**NOTICE TO BIDDERS
FOR TREE AND BRUSH CONTROL OF OPEN DITCHES IN
HARDIN COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICTS**

Notice is hereby given that sealed bids will be received by the **County Auditor of Hardin County, Iowa, at their office in the Hardin County Courthouse, 1215 Edgington Ave., Suite 1, Eldora, Iowa 50627, until 11:30 a.m. on December 21, 2016** for open ditch tree and brush control of Hardin County Drainage Districts 3, 7 & Sub 1, 18, 26, 34, 48, 55 Div 2, 55 Div 3 Main, 55 Div 3 Lat 9, 55 Div 3 Lat 9A, 55 Div 3 Lat 9G, 55 Div 3 Lat 10, 55 Div 3 Lat 12, 56, 70, 72, 82, 94, 120, 123, 143, 148, 152, 154, 155, 166, 168, Big 4 Lat 1, Big 4 Lat 2, Big 4 Lat 3, Big 4 Lat 4, Big 4 Lat A, Big 4 Lat C, Big 4 Lat D, H-H 104-222, H-H 2-104, H-H 118-232 and H-F 1. Specifications and form of contract are on file in the office of the County Auditor and describe the work involved and the conditions under which it is to be performed. Bids will be opened by the Board of Supervisors of Hardin County (acting as District Trustees) at a meeting to be held in the Hardin County Courthouse at 11:30 a.m. on December 21, 2016. Said bids will be acted on at a time and date to be determined at said bid opening.

The proposed work is located in Hardin County, Iowa; and consists of the furnishing of all materials, labor, and equipment to complete the approximate quantities of work, all as described in the plans and specifications and as listed below. One contract will be awarded for this project.

The proposed work consists of a spraying program to prevent any type of tree, brush, noxious plant or invasive species from growing in and on the ditch banks or its right-of-way. This vegetation control is executed so the water will flow at its full capacity and to reduce future repair and maintenance costs. The spraying program will be on a three year rotation cycle. Only State and Federal approved chemicals shall be applied.

All bids must be filed with the County Auditor on or before the time herein stated. All bids must be enclosed in a sealed envelope and plainly identified. The contractor must enter into a contract and provide a certificate of insurance within ten (10) days after the acceptance of their proposal. If awarded, one (1) contract will be awarded to the lowest, responsible bidder.

Published upon the order of the Board of Supervisors of Hardin County (acting as District Trustees), who also reserve the right to reject any or all proposals and to waive informalities and technicalities.